

Recent results on the X-ray emission of radio-quiet AGN

Giorgio Matt

(Università Roma Tre, Italy)

Plan of the talk

- ***Primary emission***

 - Coronal parameters*
 - Soft excess*

- ***Reprocessed emission***

 - Relativistic reflection*
 - Time lags*

- ***Obscuration and outflows***

 - X-ray eclipses*
 - BALs: absorption or X-ray weakness?*
 - The NGC 5548 campaign*

Plan of the talk

- **Primary emission**



Coronal parameters
Soft excess

- **Reprocessed emission**

Relativistic reflection
Time lags

- **Obscuration and outflows**

X-ray eclipses
BALs: absorption or X-ray weakness?
The NGC 5548 campaign






Coronal parameters

Primary hard X-ray emission likely due to Comptonization in a hot corona → quasi-exponential high energy cutoffs expected

Evidence for high energy cutoffs in BeppoSAX and XMM - INTEGRAL samples

NuSTAR is providing for the first time source-dominated obs above 10 keV → coronal parameters (much more in Andrea Marinucci's talk tomorrow; results on radiogalaxies in Anne Lohfink's poster)




Coronal parameters


Primary hard X-ray emission due to Comptonization in a hot corona → high energy cutoffs expected

Evidence for high energy cutoffs in BeppoSAX and XMM - INTEGRAL samples

NuSTAR is providing for the first time source-dominated obs above 10 keV → coronal parameters (much more in [Andrea Marinucci's](#) talk tomorrow; results on radiagalaxies in [Anne Lohfink's](#) poster)



(Perola et al. 2014)




(Malizia et al. 2014)

Coronal parameters

Primary hard X-ray emission due to Comptonization in a hot corona → high energy cutoffs expected


Evidence for high energy cutoffs in BeppoSAX and XMM - INTEGRAL samples

NuSTAR is providing for the first time source-dominated obs above 10 keV → coronal parameters (much more in Andrea Marinucci's talk tomorrow; results on radiogalaxies in Anne Lohfink's poster)




Swift J2127.4+5654 (Marinucci et al. 2014)

**$kT \sim 68/53$ keV $\tau \sim 0.35/1.35$
(slab/sphere)**



IC4329A (Brenneman et al. 2014)

**$kT \sim 61/50$ keV $\tau \sim 0.7/2.35$
(slab/sphere)**



Ark 120 (Matt et al. 2014)


Soft excess

Most AGN show soft X-ray emission in excess of the extrapolation of the hard primary emission


In many sources the soft excess is well explained by ionized reflection (e.g Walton et al. 2013)

However, there are sources in which another component is required (Patrick et al. 2012, Lohfink et al. 2012, Petrucci et al. 2013)


Ark 120 is one of them (Matt et al. 2014)



Ark 120 XMM+NuSTAR
(Matt et al. 2014)





(Ross & Fabian 2005)




No obvious evidence for a relativistic iron line (differently from a previous Suzaku obs, Nardini et al. 2011)

Soft excess

The broad-band best fit is with a Comptonization model for the soft excess. A cutoff p.l., compTT, nthcomp or optxagnf provide fits of comparable quality. Optxagnf (Done et al. 2012) is a disk/corona emission model which assumes a thermal disk emission outside the coronal radius, and soft and hard Comptonization inside.



Ark 120 XMM+NuSTAR
(Matt et al. 2014)



Extrapolating the best fit X-ray model to the OM UV data, an estimate of the black hole spin is possible

Plan of the talk

- **Primary emission**



Coronal parameters
Soft excess

- **Reprocessed emission**


Relativistic reflection
Time lags

- **Obscuration and outflows**

X-ray eclipses
BALs: absorption or X-ray weakness?
The NGC 5548 campaign





Relativistic reflection



NGC 1365: a source with BOTH absorption and relativistic reflection



Risaliti et al. 2013



Consistent with a maximally rotating BH

Relativistic reflection


NGC 1365 was observed by XMM-Newton and NuSTAR four times. Despite large variations in the absorbers, no variations in the spin and inclination are found, showing the robustness of the result.



(Walton et al. 2014; see Dom Walton's talk, and Guido Risaliti's talk for a similar case in NGC4051)

Relativistic reflection

Other high quality XMM-NuSTAR observations provide robust measurements of the spin which is e.g. confirmed to be consistent with extreme Kerr in MCG-6-30-15 (Marinucci et al. 2014a)




Intermediate spin confirmed in the NLSy1 Swift J2127.4+5654 (Miniutti et al. 2009, Marinucci et al. 2014b)



Swift J2127.4-5654 XMM+NuSTAR (Marinucci et al. 2014b)

Relativistic reflection

Use of lensed quasar allows to study relativistic reflection beyond the local Universe, as in the $z=0.658$ quasar RXJ1131-1231 (Reis et al. 2014)




***RX J1131-1231 XMM+Chandra
(Reis et al. 2014)***




Time lags

Soft time lags observed in many AGN (e.g. Fabian et al. 2009, De Marco et al. 2013, Uttley et al. 2014 -- Phil Uttley's talk) → Reflection from inner disc

More recently, reverberation of iron lines have also been observed (e.g. Zoghbi et al. 2012, 2013, Kara et al. 2014) → Compton hump reverberation expected !!



MCG-5-23-16 XMM-Newton
(Zoghbi et al. 2013)



MCG-5-23-16 NuSTAR
(Zoghbi et al. 2014)

This and much more in Erin Kara's and Abdu Zoghbi's talks this afternoon !!!

Plan of the talk

- **Primary emission**

 - Coronal parameters*
 - Soft excess*

- **Reprocessed emission**

 - Relativistic reflection*
 - Time lags*

- **Obscuration and outflows**

 - X-ray eclipses*



 - BALs: absorption or X-ray weakness?*

 - The NGC 5548 campaign*




X-ray Eclipses


X-ray eclipses have been found in some sources (e.g. NGC1365, Risaliti et al. 2009, Maiolino et al. 2010; Mrk 766, Risaliti et al. 2011) allowing to estimate the size of both absorbing clouds and X-ray emitting regions



Swift J2127.4+5654 XMM-Newton
(Sanfrutos et al. 2013)




MCG-6-30-15 XMM-Newton
(Marinucci et al. 2014)




BAL: Absorption or X-ray weakness?

Broad Absorption line quasars have a low X-ray-to-optical flux ratio → Absorption or intrinsic X-ray weakness?



PG 1004+130 Chandra+NuSTAR
(Luo et al. 2013)




Mrk 271 Chandra+NuSTAR
(Teng et al. 2014)

Anatomy of an AGN: NGC 5548

Broad band (UV to hard X-rays) monitoring campaign with six different satellites over a period of about a year.


Exceptionally rich dataset !!



Anatomy of an AGN: NGC 5548

Unexpected soft X-ray dimming → obscuration !!!



XMM Observations of NGC5548 – PN spectra



Anatomy of an AGN: NGC 5548


Unexpected soft X-ray dimming → obscuration !!!

And appearance of UV Broad Absorption Lines




Anatomy of an AGN: NGC 5548

The NGC 5548 UV + X-rays campaign provide arguably the clearest ever picture of an AGN environment



Anatomy of an AGN: NGC 5548

The NGC 5548 UV + X-rays campaign provide arguably the clearest ever picture of an AGN environment



Anatomy of an AGN: NGC 5548

*All you may want to know about the NGC 5548 campaign
in this afternoon's AGN session*

(talks by J. Kaastra

J. Ebrero

M. Mehdipour

M. Cappi

F. Ursini

K. Steenbrugge)

Wait also for a press release tomorrow (late)

Summary

- **Primary emission**

Coronal parameters → first measurements of T and τ

Soft excess → Warm Comptonization in addition to reflection?

- **Reprocessed emission**

Relativistic reflection → Robust detection and spin estimate

Time lags → Compton reflection lag observed!

- **Obscuration and outflows**

X-ray eclipses → Size of absorbing clouds and X-ray region

BALs: absorption or X-ray weakness? → X-ray weakness!

The NGC 5548 campaign → Clearest ever picture of AGN environment