# Studying microquasars with X-ray polarimetry



## Andrea Marinucci

#### From quiescence to ouburst: when microquasars go wild!



IXPE





Ile de Porquerolles – 25 September 2017

SEVENTH FRAMEWOR

## Outline

- Introduction

- Polarimetry and microquasars:
  - Coronal geometry
  - The role of the jet
    - The BH spin
  - Future instruments

## Introduction - polarization measurements





At the beginning of X-ray astronomy, polarimeters were flown aboard rockets and aboard the OSO-8 and ARIEL-5 satellites.

The introduction of X-ray optics, while producing a dramatic improvement in sensitivity, removed the need to rotate the satellite. Therefore, polarimetry based on the classical techniques, Bragg diffraction and Thomson scattering (which require rotation), became seriously mismatched with imaging and spectroscopy.

In the last 10 years, with the development of sensors based on the photoelectric effect (Costa+01), polarimetry has been again considered as a realistic option, either for large telescopes with swappable instrumentation or for dedicated small missions.

### Introduction - polarization measurements

 $\cos^2 \mathbf{\Phi}$ 

 $2\pi$ 

The only positive detection was the polarization of the Crab Nebula (Weisskopf+78) and two significant upper limits were obtained on Cyg X-1 (Weisskopf+77) and Sco X-1 (Weisskopf+78), plus many other upper limits of modest significance (Hughes+84).



TABLE 3

POLARIZATION RESULTS FOR TIME-AVERAGED 1976 AND 1977 OBSERVATIONS WITH AVERAGE EARTH-OCCULTED AND OFF-SOURCE BACKGROUNDS

* Parameter	First Order (2.6 keV)	Second Order (5.2 keV)
$\overline{R}$ (Counts s <sup>-1</sup> × 10 <sup>3</sup> )	302.32 ± 1.29	53.13 ± 0.65
Q (%)	13.02 ± 0.65	11.24 ± 1.86
U (%)	-14.10 ± 0.65	-15.94 ± 1.86
P (%)	19.19 ± 0.97	19.50 ± 2.77
<pre>     (degrees) </pre>	156.36 ± 1.44	152.59 ± 4.04

\*See footnote to Table 2



Weisskopf+78

#### Instrument dependent

### Introduction - microquasars







Zhang+13

How can we use X-ray polarimetry to study such astrophysical systems?

#### Done+07

### **Introduction - microquasars**



### The coronal geometry (hard state)



5. Polarization signal (!)

## The coronal geometry (hard state)



#### Stokes parameters:

I is proportional to the intensity of the polarized component Q is related to the angle of polarization

## The coronal geometry (hard state)



If the emission is due to Comptonization of the disc thermal photons in a hot corona, polarimetry can constrain the geometry of the corona

## The role of the jet (hard state)



#### Coronal emission is predicted to be less than 10%

Much larger polarization degrees are expected for jet emission, independently of the details of the jet structure

In accreting Galactic black hole systems, X-ray polarimetry can provide a technique to measure the spin of the black hole, in addition to the three methods employed so far

GRO J1655-40:

**QPO:** a = J/Jmax = 0.290±0.003

Continuum:  $a = J/Jmax = 0.7\pm0.1$ 

Iron line: a = J/Jmax > 0.95

Gravitational bending modifies the light geodesics causing a rotation of the plane of polarization, stronger the field larger the rotation: the polarization angle rotates with respect to the Newtonian value

The effect increases with decreasing radii, i.e. with increasing temperature, i.e. with increasing photon energy



rotation of the polarization angle with energy



Harder photons comes from inner region of the accretion disk and then are more affected;

The effect is stronger for a Kerr BH, because the disk gets closer to the compact source

#### 200 ks IXPE observation of GRS1915+105



(adapted from Dovciak+09)

Harder photons comes from inner region of the accretion disk and then are more affected;

The effect is stronger for a Kerr BH, because the disk gets closer to the compact source

### **Future instruments**





Real modulation curve derived from the measurement of the emission direction of the photoelectron.

#### The photoelectric

#### <u>polarimeter</u>





Residual modulation for unpolarized photons.

### **Future instruments - IXPE**

Polarisation sensitivity	1.8 % MDP for 2x10 <sup>-10</sup> erg/s cm <sup>2</sup> (10 mCrab) in 300 ks (CBE)
Spurious polarization	<0.3 %
Number of Telescopes	3
Angular resolution	28" (CBE)
Field of View	12.9x12.9 arcmin <sup>2</sup>
Focal Length	4 meters
Total Shell length	600 mm
Range Shell Diameter	24 shells, 272-162 mm
Range of thickness	0.16-0.26 mm
Effective area at 3 keV	854 cm <sup>2</sup> (three telescopes)
Spectral resolution	16% @ 5.9 keV (point source)
Timing	Resolution <8 µs
Timing	Accuracy 150 µs
Operational phase	2 yr
Energy range	2-8 keV
Background (req)	5x10 <sup>-3</sup> c/s/cm2/keV/det
Sky coverage, Orbit	50 %, 540 (0°)

IXPE (Imaging X-ray Polarimetry Explorer)

#### Selected by NASA (SMEX) for a launch in Nov. 2020

#### P.I.: Martin Weisskopf\_(MSFC)

# It will re-open the X-ray polarimetry window!



### **Future instruments - XIPE**

XIPE (X-ray Imaging Polarimetry Explorer). Selected by ESA (M4) for phase A study. Final selection: July 2017 – Launch: 2025. Lead Scientist: Paolo Soffitta (IAPS/INAF, Italy)

A scaled-up version of IXPE (larger area, longer duration, more flexible operations). From the exploratory to the mature phase



### Future instruments - eXTP

eXTP (enhanced X-ray Timing and Polarimetry Mission). Proposed to CAS; selected in 2011 as one of 8 "background missions". Phase A study in 2011-14. P.I: Shuang-Nan Zhang (Tsinghua Univ.). An international consortium (China + many european countries). Launch: 2025 ?

Simultaneous spectroscopic, timing and polarimetric observations



Focal plane imaging polarimeter: 4 optics with 5.25m FL Imaging, PSF 20 arcsec HPD Gas Pixel Detector: single photon, <100µs Energy band: 2-10 keV Energy resolution: 20% FWHM @6 keV Total effective area: 900 cm<sup>2</sup> @2 keV (includes QE)