

#### Revealing the coronal properties of Seyfert galaxies with NuSTAR

#### Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### on behalf of the NuSTAR AGN Physics WG

Florence High Energy processes around compact objects June 12, 2014



Brief introduction on high-energy cutoff
 measurements

#### •Nearby AGN seen by NuSTAR

#### Results

Conclusions and future perspectives

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre) F



# Brief introduction on high-energy cutoff measurements

#### •Nearby AGN seen by NuSTAR

#### Results

Conclusions and future perspectives

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre) Flor

### Introduction

One of the main open problem for AGN is the nature of the primary X-ray emission.

It is due to Comptonization of soft photons, but the geometry, optical depth and temperature of the emitting corona are largely



To observer "Reflection spectrum"

Most popular models imply E<sub>cut</sub>=2-3 kT, so measuring E<sub>cut</sub> helps constraining Comptonization models.

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

### Introduction

So far, we have only a handful of results based on non focusing, and therefore strongly background-dominated, satellites (BeppoSAX-PDS, Suzaku HXD-PIN, INTEGRAL, Swift-



Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)



- Brief introduction on high-energy cutoff
  measurements
  - Nearby AGN seen by NuSTAR

#### • Results

Conclusions and future perspectives

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre) Flo

### The NuSTAR satellite



Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

### **Reflection scenario**



Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

Brindisi – 02/09/13

100

0.015

### **Absorption scenario**

An alternative interpretation explains the spectral variability in terms of absorption changes





#### Brief introduction on MCG-6-30-15

- The XMM-NuSTAR 2013 observational campaign
  - Testing the two different scenarios

Results

Conclusions and future perspectives

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### **NuSTAR-XMM** light curves





#### **Spectral features**

#### XMM-Newton EPIC-Pn

#### NuSTAR FpmA-FpmB



A broad Iron line, an intense soft excess and a strong Compton hump are present in the low flux spectrum (fit to a  $\Gamma$ =2 power law).

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### • Brief introduction on MCG-6-30-15

- The XMM-NuSTAR 2013 observational campaign
  - Testing the two different scenarios

#### Results

Conclusions and future perspectives

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### Fitting strategy



#### Fitting strategy

Warm Absorbers	Underlying Continuum
Combined RGS spectra	EPIC-Pn + NuSTAR FpmA,B
REFLECTION	ABSORPTION
2*XSTAR*DUST x (Xillver + Relconv*Xillver + zpow)	2*XSTAR*DUST x (XSTAR*Xillver + XSTAR*zpo + zpo)
XSTAR tables XILLVER instead of REFLIONX: http://hea-www.cfa.harvard.edu/~javier/xillver/ Iron UTA tables for dust	

**RELCONV** for relativistic blurring:

http://www.sternwarte.uni-

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

Brindisi – 02/09/13

#### Combined RGS1+2 analysis



```
xillver Norm = 9.3E-06 + - 0.8E-6
```

Γ=2.03 +/- 0.02 norm 1.58E-02 +/- 0.02E-2



We then applied the combined best fit to the three separate RGS1+2 data sets

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### Separate RGS1+2 analysis



#### Time resolved simultaneous analysis



Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

# Brief introduction on MCG-6-30-15 The XMM-NuSTAR 2013 observational campaign

#### Testing the two different scenarios

#### Results

#### Conclusions and future perspectives

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### **Results: reflection**

MCG-6-30-15: Broadband best fit



#### **RDC vs PLC fluxes**



Variation of a factor ~2 observed in the RDC between 0.5-10 keV, in agreement with the PCA (Parker et al., submitted)



Constancy of the RDC between 10-80 keV (thanks to NuSTAR)

Marginal response from the accretion disk to the nuclear emission?

#### Accretion disk response



There is a response of the ionization state of the accretion disk to the variation of the PLC

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### **Results:** absorption



Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### **Covering factor time evolution**



Start Time 16321 12:19:45:042 Stop Time 16326 1:38:05:042

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

# Brief introduction on MCG-6-30-15 The XMM-NuSTAR 2013 observational campaign

Testing the two different scenarios

#### Results

Conclusions and future perspectives

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

## Conclusions

• The warm absorbing structure is consistent with literature, except for the lack of highly ionized absorption lines;

• The reflection scenario well explains the behavior of the source, from 0.4 keV up to 80 keV and it is statistically preferred

 Spectral variability can be explained in terms of strong variations of the PLC and to marginal variations in the RDC

•An alternative is that the spectral variability can be attributed to a change in covering fraction of the X-ray source AND to a change of  $N_{H}$ .

Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

### **Future perspectives**

•Explore the parameter space with greater detail (leaving other parameters free to vary);

• Increase the S/N: spectra with longer exposure times should, in principle, allow us to discriminate between a model with  $\Gamma$ =2.05 and  $\Gamma$ =2.15;

•Time intervals with constant HR AND comparable flux (in a fixed energy band) could be co-added.

•Measure cut-off energy (so far only a lower limit of 100 keV has been inferred)

Measure Black Hole spin throughout the 300 ks observation



Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### Backup



Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### Backup



Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)

#### Backup

data and folded model data and folded model χ<sup>2</sup>/ dof=2174/435=4.99  $\chi^2$ / dof=432/430=1.00 0.01 0.01 normalized counts s<sup>-1</sup> keV<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> normalized counts s<sup>-1</sup> keV<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 10-4 10-4 10-5 10-5 5 sign(data-model) ×  $\Delta \chi^2$ 1.5 ratio 0.5 10 10 Energy (keV) Energy (keV) Difference between the highest flux  $N_{H1} = (9.8 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ spectrum (42.19±0.07 cts/s) and a low  $\log_{\xi_1}=2.0 \pm 0.1$  $\Gamma = 2.16 \pm 0.03$ flux one with constant HR (17.79±0.04 cts/s) N<sub>H2</sub>=(2.1 ±1.9)x10<sup>21</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>  $\log_{\xi_2}=1.4\pm0.2$ A first fit with an absorbed power law leads to strong residuals, mainly due to the warm absorbing structure  $\log N_{Fe} = 17.2 \pm 0.3$ 

#### Andrea Marinucci (Roma Tre)